## What Is the Source of this M-i-I-I-i-O-n-S of Years Idea?

Is the idea of m-i-I-I-i-o-n-s or b-i-I-I-i-o-n-s of years based upon facts? Is the basis for this idea found in radiometric dating? And if that is true, is this based upon scientifically reliable proof?

We have been told by our trusted elementary school teacher (and it was repeated in high school and college) that planet Earth is at least m-i-I-I-i-o-n-s and more likely b-i-I-I-i-o-n-s of years old. But is that true? How can we test it to see if the claims of vast ages of the Earth are true? And have we, who inhabit planet Earth, always believed in vast ages of the Earth?

The question of the accuracy of radiometric dating could be approached in many ways, for instance going into the way radiometric dating is calculated or its history. Nothing, however, speaks more clearly than personal experience. Come back with me. Come back to my college days as our starting point.

As a graduate student we were on a joint-dig with students from CBN (Regent) graduate school and William and Mary College in Virginia. We had gone to a large gravel pit. At this location thousands upon thousands of yards of top soil had been dug away. We were supposedly down to geological levels deposited, at least, tens of thousands of years ago, according to conservative evolutionary dating methods.

As we students dug into one mound near the edge of a gravel- dirt work-road leading to the bottom of the gravel pit, we came across what appeared to be a common piece of petrified wood. Yet, the students from William and Mary and their professor became thrilled and very excited. They decided we had found an ancient fossil bearing rock. Perhaps I was to learn an important lesson about fossils and the rocks in which they were found. I had no idea that the lesson I was about to learn would challenge all that I had been taught to that date about the age and dating of rocks.

The William and Mary professor instructed us to be very careful and to document what had been found and probably told us to preserve
or mark the site, et cetera. In the process of packing the fossil bearing rock to take back to the college, he said,

## "Don't forget to mail it into the dating lab in at least three different sections or pieces."

The students all chuckled and laughed. I did not understand the humor. I thought, why are they going to mail it into the dating lab in at least three different sections? Are they making certain that the lab is accurate? So, I asked.

## "Why do you mail the same rock into the dating lab in at least three different sections?"

The students all looked at me with smiles on their faces. And the professor looked at me somewhat surprised and then realized he had "a new, uninitiated kid." So chuckling he said:

## "Sometimes they report back dates of more than a million years difference in age from the same rock!"

The other William and Mary students all laughed.
I WAS SHOCKED!!! More than a million years difference in age? How can that be? Wasn't I told by my high school biology teacher and my college science professor that this radiometric, isotopic dating was infallible, scientific proof of the vast age of the earth? How could the dates from the same rock be so different? It was then it

## HITME: Radiometric dating was a totally inaccurate

joke. The professor and graduate students knew it was a joke, an "insider's joke" of geologists and geochronologists. Then I realized, I had rejected the Bible time line because I had been told this was proof, yet it was totally inaccurate at establishing true age. IT WAS A JOKE! (Haha)

So I thought, "Was it true, as some in the church claimed, the real motivation was that men were seeking freedom from the authority of the Bible and the Author of the Bible? I thought, "Oh, please tell me there must be more to it than that." ~So, I invite you to join me as we make a search of The Radiometric (isotopic) Dating Game.

Vast Ages: Is this (millions or billions of years) vast age idea only a belief system? Are there any facts? Is there evidence? To better understand this (millions or billions of years) vast age idea is only a belief system, not based upon fact or evidence, we need to meet and learn about the key men responsible for the belief system. A belief system that (not accidentally) challenged belief in the God of the Bible. The three major players were: Mr. Charles Darwin, who built upon the uniformitarian ideas of Charles Lyell, who had forged his ideas upon James Hutton's complete vast age fantasy.

But first, we must realize that this vast age idea, as a belief, does not even extend historically back to the time of William Shakespeare. Shakespeare believed the Bible time line as is revealed in one of his plays: As You Like It. The female lead, Rosalind, says:

## The poor world is almost six thousand years old.

(William Shakespeare (1564-1616). The Oxford Shakespeare. As You Like It, Act IV. Scene I-(The Complete Works of William Shakespeare, Garden City Books, Doubleday and Company, Garden City, New York 1936) p. 687

So since we know Shakespeare did not believe in vast ages and that he reflected the common beliefs of his time (which included the Bible time line) we ask: Why did these three men: Darwin, Lyell, and James Hutton) hatch this vast age fantasy?

Charles Lyell (1797-1875 A.D.) Most have heard of evolutionist Charles Darwin, but who was Charles Lyell? Much could be written about Charles Lyell and his life, yet it is sufficient for us to know: 1) Lyell wrote the three (3) volume work entitled Principles of Geology (1830-1835). 2) Lyell was not a geologist nor was Lyell trained in any scientific field. What was his training? Most are amazed to learn 3) Lyell was educated as an attorney.

## An attorney wrote the PRINCIPLES OF Geology? <br> Yes

Charles Lyell is credited with "making popular" Hutton's vast age belief system. In his three volume work, Charles Lyell falsely contended for and made "popular" Hutton's vast age ideas: "uniformitarianism". Lyell did this by falsely alleging all earth geology is the result of slow, gradual, continual, virtually eternal, erosion. operating uniformly since the origin of the earth. The young attorney, Lyell, advocated Hutton's ideas with great force. Lyell then had a profound effect on a clergyman, (acting as a ship's scientist naturalist) Charles Darwin.

Lyell links Darwin to Hutton's ideas. Mr. Darwin (then a recent theology graduate from Christ's College, Cambridge) took a fiveyear voyage on H.M.S. Beagle, before he would begin his first pastorate. On the voyage, he read two of Lyell's geology volumes. Darwin, then began to define things in uniformitarianism [fn'] terms.

Mr. Darwin knew (but the world at large does not know) the assumptions, upon which evolution's vast ages is based, were views of a man named James Hutton. But, who is James Hutton? What was his background and education? Was he qualified to make such pronouncements on the geology of planet Earth?

James Hutton (1727-1797A.D.) James Hutton is not well known except to those in related areas of study. James Hutton should be known widely, since his imagined ideas of vast ages of Earth, have "held captive" geology and other branches of science, now, for a century and one half. His ideas were given credibility by Lyell. Then Darwin applied the ideas, which were supposed to apply to dead geological things (such as rocks), to Darwin's imagined slow, incremental plant and animal evolutionary dream.
 $n^{1} z^{\prime \prime} . m$ ) $n$. The theory that all geologic phenomena may be explained as the result of existing forces having operated uniformly from the origin of the earth to the present time. American Heritage Dictionary

The defective Hutton-Lyell ideas were essential to the foundation of the Darwinian fiction. Darwin needed $m-i-I-I-i-\mathbf{- o}-\mathrm{n}$-s of years for his fallacies to ever be accepted. Darwin used this vastage philosophy to launch a challenge to the Bible and Creator God. He spread vast age ideas widely throughout society. And indeed, it was James Hutton who fathered this vast age rebellion.

Background: James Hutton, a young Scottish man, was ever learning. First he studied law. Another lawyer, you ask? Well, not exactly. When Hutton was clerking (training in a law office), he spent much time helping a friend invent a process, rather than on his duties. Hutton was dismissed from his clerkship (fired). Hutton went back to school and earned a medical degree. After a short time at the practice of medicine, (some report no practice of medicine, at all) Hutton, who had inherited a farm, became a farmer. (But, Hutton and his friend continued to develop the process). Now with a income, farmer Hutton became more interested in studying rocks and dirt of his land than in farming. Yet, Mr. Hutton did enjoy some farming success. (He had a farm manager).

Hutton began to speculate about Earth and how earth took its shape. Hutton decided the key to the past is the present. The thought of the era before Hutton (and most now believe) the key to the present is the past. Hutton believed the opposite. He falsely claimed Earth was explained by a model of slow, gradual, continual erosion. Hutton alleged, it was natural forces, not God or the Biblical flood that was responsible for the topography of Earth, he said it was all natural forces working slowly, over vast ages that explained earth geology.

Prehistoric Process: Hutton's pretended idea that the erosion process had continued for vast ages was supposed to have occurred during prehistoric times. Prehistoric by definition is before there was any written history. If it is before written history, then Hutton cannot be basing his imagined ideas upon any written record of the past. Thus, Hutton's mental ideas (imagination) that this was happening in prehistoric times, is not verifiable in written history. His ideas are from his imagination.

Oral Traditions: What about oral legends? Do they support Mr. Hutton? Or were his ideas only from his imagination? Oral traditions were of flood legends from almost every country in the world. These opposed Hutton's fancy. Flood legends are absolutely compatible with the fact of the Biblical flood of Noah. Most of them include an ancestor who escaped a great flood and came back to repopulate the world. Moreover, the vast majority of the educated people who worked in Earth science at that time, opposed Hutton. Thus we can properly conclude that vast ages are from the imagination of Mr . Hutton.

Illusion: Oh, it is interesting to note Mr. Hutton also believed the material universe is an illusion. You just think you see Earth, moon, stars, oceans, plants and animals. Hutton was a dreamer.

So, vast ages was a product of the imagination of men's minds. Mr. Hutton's delusions (of natural processes, devoid of a supernatural Creator and that the universe is an illusion) and they are contrary to the Bible. His was a "reversed view" of Earth geology and men who studied Earth. Most of them accepted the flood of Noah. Hutton denied the dominant teaching of that day: catastrophism and that the Biblical flood explained the terrain.

Hutton was dismissed by the majority of the scientists of his day, but, there was a small hard-core of non believers who did not want to believe everything was created by God or that God had once judged the world with a worldwide flood. They had searched and searched for an explanation of the world without God. Hutton hatched the idea. It was nurtured by unbelievers. Mr. Hutton was its modern spokes-person. Hutton was tempted by his desire to challenge God. His desire gave birth to this false vast-age idea.

So, vast ages were imagined and made up by a farmer attorney-doctor James Hutton? YES! . AND . . . that's it? ... Well Merrill's Earth Science traces it all back to James Hutton. So YES,... THAT IS IT!

The beginning of these vast age ideas are so simple, you may ask, as did I, 'Is that all there is to the vast age rebellion?' The simple, honest answer is a profound YES.

And Lyell, the lawyer who wrote geology books, was Hutton's vast age champion persuader?

THAT'S RIGHT!
Charles Lyell seized on the imagination of James Hutton and applied it to ALL Earth geology. Now, men who did not love God, men who wanted to cause you to have doubt and unbelief in the Bible, seemingly had a logical rallying point. For with the made up vast ages of Hutton and Lyell, they could cause you to doubt the Bible time line. They would have you also doubt the Biblical flood. They know that if you doubt those parts of the Bible, you would, of course, question the entire Bible.

Thus, we properly conclude that vast ages were invented by men. But these men, did not warn us they were Godless. They, like King Herod, of old would have said, "Bring me word as to where is this new born Messiah, that I might worship Him also."[fn²] But, they have no intention of worshiping Him. They, as Herod, want to kill the Word of God. And if you read "The Great Turning Poinf" (Morteson 2004 A.D.) you will learn how Lyell (and others) infiltrated the very church colleges which had been set up to train new pastors to combat anti-Biblical teaching.

The same technique is often used today, in public schools. They falsely claim they want to increase our secular education. We seem to fall for that line. Yet their very belief system defies some natural laws and is contrary to the geological, fossil record. And sadly (we must admit) they also continue to infiltrate and teach in the church seminaries. It is an insidious attack against the Bible and God. It will, if left unchallenged, lead many to the place of eternal fiery torment.

[^0]But the fearful and unbelieving . . ., shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone: which is the second death. - Revelation 21:8

You know, if we had an event of which we knew the age, we could test the validity of vast ages. ... WAIT! ... We do.

## Mt. St. Helens, Washington

(last full eruption date: May $18^{\text {th }}, 1980$ A.D.)
On May $18^{\text {th }}, 1980$ A.D., Mt. St. Helens exploded in a great volcanic eruption. The blast devastated the area. The destructive power was equal to and exceeded the atomic bombs dropped on Japan to end World War II. After the blast, magma (hot molten lava) flowed down the mountain mixing with dirt and ice, making massive mud slides, blocking rivers, and creating new lakes. In a later mud flow, hundred foot canyons came into existence in hours. Aftershock activity continued for two years. But how can this help us solve the radiometric Dating Game?

When hot molten magma rock comes out of the earth into the atmosphere surrounding planet Earth, the radiometric dating clock first begins to record age. The rock is, therefore, geologically zero years old until there is a volcanic eruption. So, if we knew the date of a volcanic eruption, we could check the accuracy of the age of the rocks and compare it to the supposedly infallible radiometric dating methods. God gave us such a volcanic eruption on May 18th, in the year of our Lord, 1980 A.d. Later new lava domes were created in 1986 a.d. Were these checked by radiometric dating? YES!

In 1997, with permission from the governmental authorities, five specimens were gathered from the 1986 lava dome (dacite) of Mount St. Helens for dating. However, we admit it is possible some of the

1980 eruption may have been mistakenly taken. The five specimens were tested by conventional Potassium-Argon radiometric dating in established dating laboratories. The rock was eleven years old (to perhaps seventeen years old).

Radiometric dating methods are supposed to estimate the age of rocks. They use calculations based on the "decay rates" of radioactive elements such as uranium, strontium or potassium. A decay rate is the time it takes certain elements to go through stages of change from one kind of element to another. What is first there in the beginning is called parent-element. The final element (after the decay of the parent element) is said to be the daughter-element. Therefore, it is alleged, that by comparing the amount of parent-element supposed to be present in the rock at the time of the measuring event (eruption) to the amount of daughter element present when you date the rock, they say, you can determine the age of the rock. If half of the parent is missing, it is said to be at half life age. The imagined evolutionary age is said to be the number of years it is supposed to take for one half of the parent to break down into daughter-element.

Well, what were the scientific laboratory dates for this eleven to seventeen year old rock? The dating results indicated ages of the lava rock from about 500,000 (ya) to almost three million years old. The dates were obtained from rock lava known to beeleven (to perhaps seventeen) year old rock. These dates are ridiculous!

Here we learn that dating rocks of known age, by the most modern methods, is such an obvious failure that we clearly cannot trust the dates of the ages of the rocks. We cannot trust the ages obtained by the most scientific laboratories! If these are obvious failures, should you believe evolutionists' dates or ages on any rocks? NO!

Evolutionists falsely allege: 1) radiometric dating is a precise measurement; and 2) radiometric dating methods give powerful
evidence for them to say life has existed on the earth for hundreds of millions, even billions, of years. Yet, we can observe (in the Mt. St. Helen's example) the obvious failures. Yet, we are asked to believe dating methods are accurate. Are they accurate? NO!

We can see, in the Mt. St. Helen's example, the obvious failures. In the Mount St. Helen's example, the rock should have tested as too young (or too recent in origin) to measure. But, five samples were sent for dating. All were woefully inaccurate. The five specimens of eleven to seventeen year old rock, yielded ages one half m-i-I-I-i-o-n to almost three m-i-I-I-i-o-n years ago (years old). If five samples tested by the best evolutionary dating methods in college dating labs are so erroneous, so imprecise, so incorrect how can we believe any reported date? We cannot believe the reported dates! And the geologists know the dates are inaccurate. Remember the "dig" and the professor telling us to mail in the same specimen in at least three different sections?

WHAT YOU ARE NOT TOLD is: it is an "inside joke" in the geologic community that dates are often inaccurate. As we document below, some have admitted (since the 1970s) that MORE THAN ONE HALF of the dates given by the most up to date dating labs are rejected by the evolutionists who send the rocks into be dated.

These dating methods are used by those who want to oppose the existence of Eternal, Uncaused, Infinite, Almighty God and as so-called PROOF for their proposition that Earth has been here for $m-i-I-I-i-0-n-s$ and $b-i-I-I-i-o-n-s$ of years. Evolutionists know: 1) the dates are wrong, and 2) that they are challenging God and His Biblical time-line. They know the Bible indicates life has only existed on the earth for a few thousand years. And 3) they know that if they can get you to question the Bible time-line, you will most likely question, the accuracy of the entire Bible.

But, all we must do to assure ourselves that they are wrong is to look at the results of the Mount St. Helen's dating. We knew
the actual age was eleven to seventeen years. However, the established evolutionist geochronology dating laboratories said the lava rock was between almost five hundred thousand years to almost three m-i-I-I-i-o-n years old. There we SAW the radiometric dating methods were deplorably inaccurate.

EVOLUTIONIST'S ADMISSIONS;; In CLOSING THII SECTION ON DAting, allow me to quote some evolutionists. Evolutionists knew (more than a quarter of a century before the $21^{\text {th }}$ century) that radio (isotopic) dating is not accurate. They are known to be inaccurate. Thousands of dates are so bad that evolutionists won't accept them. Consider the following quotations written decades before the $21^{\text {st }}$ century:

It may come as a shock to some, but fewer than fifty percent of the radio carbon dates from geological and archaeological samples in northeastern North America have been adopted as "acceptable" by investigators. (J. Ogden III Annals of the New York Academy of Science, volume 288 [pp. 167-173] 1977)

A comment of Professor Brew made at a symposium on prehistory of the Nile Valley, "C14 dating and Egyptian chronology" in Radiocarbon Variations and Absolute Chronology, Proceedings of the Twelth Nobel Symposium Ingrid U. Olsson (editor), Almqvist \& Wiksell, Stockholm and John Wiley \& Sons, Inc. New York, (1970, p. 35) Cited by Pense, 3 (1):44.

If a C-14 date supports our (evolutionary) theories, we put it in the main text. If it does not entirely contradict them, we put it in a foot note. And if it is completely 'out of date,' we just drop it" (do not mention it at all). Few archeologists who have concerned themselves with absolute chronology are innocent of having sometimes applied this method, and many are still hesitant to accept C -14 dates without reservation. www.pathlights.com/ceencyclopedia/06dat5.htm

Dr. William Stansfield, in The Science of Evolution (New York: Macmillan Publishing Co. 1977), p.84, candidly admitted:

It is obvious that radiometric techniques may not be the absolute dating methods that they are claimed to be. Age estimates on a given geological stratum by different radiometric methods are often quite different (sometimes by hundreds of millions of years). There is no absolutely reliable long-term radiological 'clock'.

In 1994 A.D. this comment was made about dates NOT acceptable to confirmed evolutionists.
... Only in a few cases geologically meaningful ages were obtained. In the majority of cases the ages are clearly off and the data disappear in a lab-data file. (Jagoutz, E., ISOTOPIC SYSTEMATICS OF METAMORPHIC ROCKS, [p. 156] 1994)

Dr. Walt Brown (PhD) writes about the more recent radio carbon-dating method: atomic accelerator technique. Observing the half life of $\mathrm{C}-14$ is 5,730 years old, he reports that bones older than 70,000 radio carbon years would not have any detectable carbon-14. If a bone does have any detectable $\mathrm{C}-14$, the bone is actually less than 70,000 radiocarbon years of age. He then reports that some evolutionists who had bones of supposed great antiquity (estimated at hundreds of thousands of years) dated them:
... Ancient human skeletons, when dated by this . . . "accelerator mass spectrometer" technique, give surprisingly recent dates. In one study of eleven sets of ancient human bones, all were dated at about 5,000 radiocarbon years or less! Dr. Walt Brown, PhD. In the Beginning:, (7 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition - Walt Brown 2001 A.D.) p. 245 http://www.creationscience.com

And then, and then along came the R.A.T.E. (Radioisotopes and the Age of the Earth) conference of the Institute of Creation ' Research in November 2005 A.D. Perhaps the most impressive finding was similar to the findings reported by Dr. Brown. They tested coal samples for Carbon 14. [fn³] But, why bother to | measure coal? Coal is imagined to be so old it will not
have any trace of C-14. But RATE scientists sent coal samples from ten samples of govemment held and located coal for Accelerator Mass Spectrometer C-14 testing.

Now remember the evolutionist community teaches the most recent coal is more than thirty m-i-I-I-i-o-n $(\mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{0 0 0}, \mathbf{0 0 0})$ years old. The oldest coal deposits are imagined to be three hundred m-i-I-I-i-o$\mathrm{n}(300,000,000)$ years old. The second thing you have to know is that Carbon 14 cannot be measured in anything more than about seventy thousand $(70,000)$ to (at the very most) one hundred thousand $(100,000)$ years old. Why bother to measure coal. If coal was actually thirty m-i-I-I-i-0-n $(30,000,000)$ years old there would be no trace of C-14.

## Well what the results, what happened?!? ALL OF THE SAMPLES REVEALED some amounts of $\mathbf{C - 1 4 !}$

The only proper conclusion: the coal is less than seventy thousand years old. (Much less). BUT, evolutionists say the date of the most recent coal was thirty m-i-I-I-i-o-n (30,000,000 ya) years old. Thus, the supposed millions of years age of the coal is called into serious question, by their own dating methods in their own laboratories.

No. That's not an accurate statement. What is a more accurate statement is: the evolutionary age of coal, as reported by the evolutionary community, has been proven (in their own dating labs) to be totally inaccurate! FALSE! IMAGINED! MADE UP! PHONEY!

## Finally, consider the following statement:

Geochronology: The science of determining the age of the earth is called "Geo" [meaning earth] "Chronology" (i.e. having to do with a time sequence). There are over 80 "clocks" we can examine to get an idea of how long the earth has existed. These clocks are actual processes that keep ticking away through the centuries. All of them are based on
the obvious reality that natural processes, occurring steadily through time, produce cumulative and often measurable results. These studies reveal maximum upper limits for the time these processes could have continued. Almost all of them point to a rather young earth. ... (Dennis Petersen, Unlocking the Mysteries of Creation, Creation Resources El Dorado, CA. 2002 A.D.) p. 47 (underline and emphasis added)

So now you know the rest of the story. Radiometric, isotopic dating methods are not the "absolute dating methods that they are falsely claimed to be." Their failure is a long standing inside joke of geologists. Many evolutionists have now admitted: "There is no absolutely reliable long-term radiological 'clock'."

Since evolutionists know the dates are not accurate (and often reject the dates) you have no obligation to believe in vast ages. Vast ages are not true. Vast ages is merely a part of The Radiometric Dating Game. Since you can now have faith in the Bible time line and in what the Bible states: The Bible says:
${ }^{16)}$ This I say therefore, and testify in the Lord, that ye henceforth walk not as other Gentiles walk, in the vanity of their mind, ${ }^{17)}$ Having the understanding darkened, being alienated from the life of God through the ignorance that is in them, because of the blindness of their heart: (Ephesians 4)

All you need to have is faith in God. You should have faith in God, especially if you understand God's boundless love.

For God so loved the world that He gave His only Begotten Son that whosoever believeth in Him, should not perish, but have everlasting life (with God in heaven). For God sent not His Son into the world to condemn the world, but that the world through Him, might be saved. John 3:16-17

These Radiometric dating methods were the chief arguments against the Bible time line. You now know there is no reliable radiometric dating method. Thus, the Bible time line and the first chapter of Genesis are the Truth, the whole truth and nothing but the Truth. The Bible also declares: Today is the day and now is the time to reconcile back to God the Father. (2 $2^{\text {nd }}$ Corinthians 6:2) If you now want to make peace with our Creator (GOD) we here set forth how you can find Peace With God. We encourage you to read it prayerfully and soberly.

## Steps To Peace With God

In all of life there is nothing more wonderful than experiencing peace with God. What you must realize is first, God loves you and has a wonderful plan for your life. The Bible states we have peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ. Secondly, we are separated from God, His Love and His wonderful plan for our life on Earth and afterward, by our sin. Third, you must know that those who sin deserve the penalty of our sins. The penalty for your sin (and mine) is eternal death and torment in the lake of fire. But, God the Father did not want to see you (or I) go to the eternal lake of fire. God had to find a way to pay our death penalty. Father-God allowed God the Word to take on the flesh of man and come and live as Jesus. Jesus came for one reason to pay the death penalty for sin. HE died to pay your penalty for your sin. The gift of God is eternal life through Jesus Christ, our Lord. Fourthly, we must make peace with God through the only Way of Peace back to Him. This Peace is available through the Person of Jesus Christ, our Lord. Jesus died on the cross to pay for your sins. God is a just God. There must be a death for the sin. Finally, you must make a choice. You must decide whether or not you want to pay your own death penalty in the lake of fire or are you going to admit you are guilty of sin and then admitting your guilt, choose to accept the death of Jesus in your place. If you do not accept this gift of Jesus, when you die (and you will die) you will go to the lake of fire. If you do choose to accept His legal pardon, His death in your place, you will go to heaven when you die. It is your choice!

If you are ready for a serious, life changing encounter with the living God; to have your sins forgiven by the Creator of the universe; if you are ready for your life to radically and completely change, draw near to God with faith. If you have the courage, pray this prayer sincerely and honestly. Say the words out loud.

Heavenly Father, I know You love me and have a wonderful plan for my life. Thank You God for loving me. My sin separates me from You, Your love and Your plan for me. I now realize dating methods are totally inaccurate. Thus, I am free to believe YOUR revealed Word, the Bible. Lord, I admit You created man. When man sinned, You knew then that You would allow Your Son, Jesus, to come to Earth in the form of man to pled guilty to my sin-crimes and then to pay the ultimate, my death penalty. This Innocent, Just One paid so that I could be reconciled back to You. God, I want Peace with God. I repent of my sin of unbelief and all sin. Please forgive me. I turn away from sin. I give up my right to sin. Please cleanse me. Please deliver me from all evil. I confess Jesus Christ came and died to pay for my sin. Lord Jesus, I ask You to come into my life and change me completely. Give me a life-changing experience. Save me radically. Deliver me from every demon of hell. Please come into my heart and life. At this very moment, I receive Jesus Christ into my heart and life as Savior and Lord. I give God permission to come into my heart and life right now and change me completely. Please Holy Spirit, lead me into all Truth. I now receive Your salvation, Your Peace, Your Joy, Your deliverance. Thank You for this life-changing encounter with You. This I pray and ask and declare in the Name of Jesus Christ, God the Son. Amen


[^0]:    $2 \quad 7$ Then Herod, when he had privily called the wise men, enquired of them diligently what time the star appeared. ${ }^{8}$ And he sent them to Bethlehem, and said, Go and search diligently for the young child; and when ye have found [Him], bring me word again, that I may come and worship Him also. -Matthew 2:7-8

