

WERE WE CREATED OR DID WE EVOLVE? [fn¹]

There are two faith based systems that war one against the other. They center their argument on the question of origins of the universe and of man. Okay, why is this important?

IT IS AN ATTACK ON GOD THE HOLY SPIRIT: Some (who call themselves Bible Christians) try to tell us *big bang evolution* is the way God chose to create. REALLY?!? These two views are so diametrically opposed to each other, it is said, a state of war exists between them. The *big bang* idea says man evolved. The Bible says God created man and breathed into him the breath of life. *Big bang* says the sun was first. The Bible states Earth was before the sun. The church must realize these two belief systems cannot be blended. Furthermore, the public college does not teach this is the way God did it. College professors teach (for the most part) there was no God. The *big bang evolutionary* college teacher expects his or her student to reject the accuracy of Genesis and adopt a new belief system. They know that if you reject the God breathed words of Genesis, because you believe they are not accurate, then you must logically reject all the Holy Spirit dictated. That includes John 3:16 and the entire Bible. Why?

If you cannot believe God the Holy Spirit in Genesis, how can you believe Him for your salvation or deliverance from the eternal lake of fire? Either all the Bible is true or the Bible is not true at all. (Which do you choose to believe? Be careful!) An attack on Creation and Genesis becomes an attack on the entire Bible, upon God the Holy Spirit, and on you and your Biblical Christian faith and the faith of your parents and children.

The Bible states: “*IN THE BEGINNING GOD CREATED THE HEAVEN AND THE EARTH... .*” God’s Bible tells us God created the universe: (heaven, Earth, sun, moon and stars). On Earth God created land, plants, fish, birds, animals and man in six days. (Genesis 1:1-31)

¹ This is a summary of an eight and one half (8 ½)hour seminar by an attorney who examines the evidence in this debate.

God intended the results of His actions. You are His highest and best creation. You are His beloved.

Big bang teaches: You are an accident. Those who do not know God (*big-bang* evolutionists) also have a *belief system*. They allege the universe created itself by accident 12 ½ to 20 b-i-l-l-i-o-n years ago. The *big bang* evolutionist wants you to believe: There was nothing. No God, no heaven, no earth, no light: nothing. Well, almost nothing. They say there **was** a spinning dot of matter "not much bigger than the period at the end of this sentence." It, they allege, expanded rapidly outward (exploded). After their supposed spinning, tiny dot of energy and matter expanded outward (*big bang*) they say it produced all we see in the universe. (They do not know what caused this explosive outward expanse) This dot of energy as a spinning cloud of gas (Emanuel Swedenborg's idea) became sun, earth, all planets, their moons and all the universe.

They imagine Earth got into its orbit 4.6 b-i-l-l-i-o-n years ago (in their way of seeing time). They ask you to believe it rained on hot, molten rocks for millions of years. (They don't know how rain formed.) Rained on rocks supposedly made an ocean of elements. They think these dead elements somehow formed a living cell. But they have admitted since 1954, a living cell cannot come from things like non-living rocks. They admit, that is "impossible." But they continue in their story to tell you this first living cell evolved into a pre bacterium and continued all the way to man. But how?

EVOLUTION v DNA: Ah, Charles Darwin! When Darwin wrote how he imagined this happened (way back in 1859) no one knew about DNA and not much was known about the cell. Even today, evolutionists cannot explain how tiny, bacteria could have in it the ability to run like a horse, swim like a fish, fly like a bird or become a thinking, reasoning human being. One thing is most puzzling. The DNA is supposed to have evolved the human cell. Yet, DNA Can Only Live in an Already Existing, Fully Functioning Human Cell. If DNA has to live in a cell, the cell would have to be first; or the cell would have to come into being with the DNA already in it (batteries included). They know that is true. Yet, they cannot admit this, as there is only one way that could happen. The cell could only come equipped with DNA if God created the cell, with the DNA in it.

Although this *big-bang made up story* sounds like fiction, it is the current, official, public-school approved, view of beginnings.

WHO KNOWS WHAT? While the Bible boldly declares God created time, space and matter, the big-bang evolutionist does not know the origin of time, space or matter. THINK!!!

PURPOSE OR OIL? The Bible says we are fearfully, wonderfully and lovingly formed in our mother's womb by a loving Creator. We are God's highest and best creation. We have a purpose in this life and God has given us the ability to have everlasting life with Him in the life hereafter. Evolutionists imagine you are but an accident, here today and oil tomorrow.

WHICH DO YOU BELIEVE? Are you God's highest and best creation or (as evolutionists imagine) are you an accident that came from rained on rocks? Only one of these two: Creation or *big-bang*-evolution, can be correct! **You cannot believe in both.** They are contradictory belief systems. Many are amazed to discover the Bible explanation conforms to the evidence far better than "*big-bang*" cosmology. Really? YES!

CONTRARY EVIDENCE: Evidence contrary to the *big-bang* evolution supposition is either *not* taught by evolutionists, ignored or denied by evolutionists. Examples that call "*big-bang*" evolutionary imagination into question, are:

1 - The gaseous mistake: They teach the swirling gases produced our solar system and universe. Where did this idea originate? It's from Emanuel Swedenborg in 1743. The Swedish mining engineer stated his idea (*nebular hypothesis*) was confirmed to him in a seance with men from Saturn. (Also he saw men on the moon who talked in burps)

2 - Laws of Motion. What about moon and planets that spin backwards in our solar system? When something begins to spin in one direction (such as counter clockwise) the laws of motion dictate that they will continue spinning in that same direction, until they encounter something to make them stop or change direction. If *big bang* exploded outward and was

spinning in a counter clockwise fashion all of the planets and moons in our solar system should be spinning the same direction. Why then do some planets and some moons in our solar system rotate in the opposite (clockwise) manner?

3 - Life cannot come from non life. Then there is the impossibility of *spontaneous generation (abiogenesis)* of the first cell. Remember non-theistic evolution teaches that a living cell came from non-living material in the first ocean of elements that came from rained on rocks. Francisco Redi and Louis Pasteur conducted experiments to prove 'dead things' cannot produce 'living things.' Dr. George Wald of Harvard has so admitted in 1954. It is known that dead matter cannot become living matter. It is "impossible", as Dr. Wald stated in *Scientific American*, in 1954. (However, Dr. Wald, being a good evolutionist, said that despite this impossibility, he chose to believe in it, rather than admit "the only alternative:" "*supernatural creation.*") Therefore, the first living cell could not come into existence by chance or by accident in one million, two billion, three trillion or fifty quadrillion years.

4 - Darwin and the Cell collide. SMACK! In Mr. Darwin's day the cell was thought to be simple and mostly a jelly like substance. That was because the best microscopes were about 200 power. Since the scanning electron microscope first developed in the mid twentieth century, the cell can have its parts magnified 200,000 times (Wiki Answers.com). The awesome **complexity** and **irreducibility** of the **cell** is now known. It is so complex (each cell is much more complex than the space shuttle) that science now admits they do not know how it could have evolved. Yet, evolutionary scientists hold to that belief that the cell evolved.

5 - No evidence in past animal life. Charles Darwin writes in *Origin of Species* there is not one 'finely graduated organic chain' of fossils (fossils are the remains of once living plants or animals that are impressed in the rocks of earth, such as a skeleton or bone or footprint). There was no chain of fossils leading from one species to another different kind of species. Mr. Darwin believed and taught there should be enumerable chains of

fossils. The **absence of any such chain of fossils** leading from one species to another in the geologic record is, Mr. Darwin admitted, grave and serious evidence against his imagined ideas

6 - The Dating Game. Evolutionists have come up with tests that they allege prove the age of the Earth is billions of years. The **problem is with the accuracy of dating**. Evolutionists admit that of all the so-called accurate methods of radiometric, isotopic dating (Carbon 14, Potassium-Argon, Fission track, etc) are so inaccurate that more than fifty percent (50%) of them are rejected.

7 - Dinosaurs alive with man? Evolutionists allege dinosaurs died out tens of millions of years before man. Yet, the Bible tells us Adam named all of the land breathing animals, not all *but* dinosaurs. There are drawing and paintings of dinosaurs in caves of men who were drawing what they had seen. Pottery in Mexico and the South American Ica (not Inca) stone art have dinosaurs all over them. Then there were the men of Africa who, when shown a picture of dinosaurs, said: 'Mokele M'Bembe' (a 30 foot lizard) in Africa last cited in the 21st century (Marcy 2006; Gibbons-Mullin 2009).

SCHOLARLY PROOF: If you seek scholarly proof, please read these books:

1. *In Six Days*, (Master Books 2001 A.D.) Fifty PhD scientists have written 50 articles on why they believe God created: IN SIX DAYS.
2. Sarfati, Jonathan PhD *Refuting Evolution*, (Master Books 2000 A.D.) Exposes weakness of evolution.
3. Sarfati, Jonathan PhD *Refuting Compromise* (Master Books 2004 A.D.) Defends the Bible

4. Lubenow, Marvin. *Bones of Contention* (Baker Books-2004 A.D.). A creation author presents excellent proof against human evolution and a wide variety of topics.

Or you can read these older books of former thorough evolutionists who have abandoned Darwinism, such as:

5. Cohen, I.L. *Darwin was Wrong*, (A Study in Probabilities) New Research Publications, Inc. NY (1984 A.D.). (***Good for those new to fighting evolution or Darwinism***)
6. Denton, Dr. Michael *Evolution, A Theory in Crisis*, Bethesda, MD: Adler&Adler Pub (1985 A.D.)
7. Behe, Dr. Michael *Darwin's Black Box*, (*The biochemical challenge to evolution*) New York, The Free Press (1996 A.D.)

Do not allow the *big-bang* belief system to destroy your faith in God since it is *big-bang evolution* that is riddled with unanswered questions. It is also contrary to some scientific laws and discoveries.

HOW ABOUT EINSTEIN'S THEORIES OF RELATIVITY? Formerly the best argument of nonbelievers was: there is no need for an eternal God, if there is an eternal universe. If the universe had been eternal that would be a valid argument. However, Einstein's theories led to the final conclusion: the universe was not eternal, it had a beginning. Albert Einstein destroyed the best argument the enemies of the Bible held. This discovery, however, **did not** lead to conversions of nonbelievers. Instead, non believers made up a new (*non-God*) imagination to replace their anti Creation belief: the *big-bang*.

GOD OF BIG-BANG: There is an interesting point with the *big-bang* theory. Although it is the current belief the *big-bang* evolutionary community uses to battle: "**IN THE BEGINNING GOD CREATED**," their own reasoning leads them to a point of beginning or a *singular*


event. This event is *best explained* (many astronomers now admit) by a Creator God and the Biblical directive: **“IN THE BEGINNING GOD CREATED.”**

ORIGIN OF MATTER: The *First Law of Thermodynamics* states *matter can neither be created nor destroyed* by natural methods. Well, if matter is not created or destroyed naturally, what is the origin of matter? (**IN THE BEGINNING GOD CREATED**) Honest men of science will admit there is no viable alternative to the Bible account of God’s creation to explain matter.

ORIGIN OF LIFE: Darwin recognized the problem of origin of life in his imagined theory. In Chapter 14, of his *Origin of Species*, Mr. Darwin grants the Creator a small ‘walk on’ role as Darwin says the Creator breathed life into “one form” or into a few forms. Mr. Darwin, himself, may have found a way to deflect the origin question, yet, most of Darwin’s disciples were and are unbelievers and/or atheists. They allow God no place in their imagined ideas. Most try to replace God with the *big-bang-evolution* story as to how they imagine life began.

THE FIRST COMPLEX, IRREDUCIBLE CELL

So where did the first cell originate? It is matter (a physical substance). As you will recall, evolutionists contend “after Earth came to its orbit, it rained on the rocks of earth for *m-i-l-l-i-o-n-s* of years.” (Origin of rain unclear to evolutionists). Elements washed from rocks (source of elements unknown) into the primordial pool or ocean. The elements supposedly ‘spontaneously generated’ amino acids (*they allege*). How? They don’t know. Evolutionists imagine amino acids built proteins and proteins formed cells. Then there is the left-handed amino acid problem. All amino



acids in a protein must be left-handed. (Left-handed is a term used to explain the location of the H atom.) In the laboratory, amino acids produce both left-handed and right-handed amino acids in about equal amounts. If amino acids did happen by chance, we should expect to see both right-handed and left-handed amino acids in each protein. But, a protein needs a string of all left-handed amino acids to be functional (usually several hundred). All cells are made up of many proteins. Can they ever get an amino acid to form into a protein in the laboratory? NO! They never have and they have been trying for more than one hundred years.

Dr. Jerry Bergman, a double PhD writes:

Scientists once argued that [early cellular] life was relatively simple, could spontaneously generate, and regularly did so. They now realize the human cell is the most complex machine known in the universe, far more complex than the most expensive computer and that life couldn’t evolve but must have been created instantly as a fully functioning unit. (*In Six Days*, Master Books 2001 A.D.)


CELL IRREDUCIBILITY: Every part of the cell, depends on every other part to be *alive*. If they all need the other to live, one cannot have come first. That is like asking the heart to work without the oxygen from the lungs. The heart would die. But, without the blood from the heart, the lungs would also die. Just as the heart and lungs need each other, each part of a cell needs all the other parts of the cell. Since each part is necessary, the cell is not able to live while waiting for its parts to evolve. There are thousands of parts contained in a single cell that must all be working for the cell to have life. When several parts are needed to have the whole working, this is called irreducibility. (Behe 1996). Therefore, no part can evolve into the other because the cell cannot have life, without each and every part. Thousands of parts of a cell are necessary for it to be alive and working.

Since the cell needs thousands of parts to be alive, it is very complex. This complexity argues against the cell developing slowly, part by part. Evolution would teach that the cell had to be built step by step, part by part. We can see that the cell was not produced from this step by step evolution.

SCANNING ELECTRON MICROSCOPE: In Darwin’s day microscopes were limited as to magnification. Magnification means the ability to make things look much bigger and show more detail. In the 1950s, the scanning electron microscope was more fully developed. This electron microscope can magnify objects two hundred thousand times bigger. (Suppose you were studying a hair on a mole on a man’s face. If you magnified a six (6) foot tall man one thousand (1000) times (x), he would be 6000 feet [more than a mile] tall and about 2000 feet wide. The hair would be huge. Magnification of him $x 200,000 = 1,200,000$ feet (227.27 miles) Do you begin to understand?) This new microscope showed all the many parts of the cell. Many new parts were seen. All were needed for the cell to be alive. This was more evidence against Darwin’s evolution. Many rational scientists could no longer believe in Darwin’s imagined ideas. (Cohen 1984; Behe 1996; Spetner 1997; Hoyle 1999; Peterson 2002)

THINK ABOUT THE DNA. Each cell contains DNA information **to run** the cell. It also contains the blueprint **of** the cell. Remember DNA (a type of acid) is in every living cell. It’s in a bacterium and many, many complicated DNA molecules are in each brain cell of the most intelligent human. DNA holds all the information needed for the cell to live and to build another cell. The DNA has so much information in each DNA that if the information was written out, it would have enough information to fill a thousand text books. But here is the problem. The cell could not come before the DNA as the cell needs the information to be alive. Yet, DNA can only live in an already fully functioning cell. Remember the heart and lungs needed each other to live. Well let us add the brain. The brain needs the blood from the heart and the oxygen from the lungs. The heart and the lungs need the information from the brain. If we think of the DNA as the brain of a cell, we can see that DNA cannot live outside of the cell. And the cell needs the information from the brain to live. You can’t have a cell without DNA and you cannot have DNA outside of the cell.

One more fantastic thing. Most of these DNA molecules have one million or more rungs (or cross pieces) connected to the sides of the ladder like structure. These rungs must all be connected to the sides. There is a number order. They all have to be in their place. They must all have proper perfect connections correct on the first try. Creation scientists say that this could not possibly have happened by accident or by chance. Evolutionary scientists teach it happens by accident. But, how can more than one million rungs or cross pieces connect all at the same time and be in the proper place? And to make things more difficult, there are four possible connecting parts. Each rung must chose the right connection part or the rung cannot connect to the side. If more than a very few rungs need to be repaired, the whole DNA will collapse. The study of Probabilities shows that with a million connections needed and when only a very few mistakes are possible, the DNA could not connect by accident or chance.



DNA is like a twisted ladder with two sides and many rungs.

PROBABILITIES: Evolution teaches things happen by accident. The way it works is supposed to be similar to this. Suppose a blind and unintelligent man is stacking ABC blocks. There are bound to be many mistakes when a blind and unintelligent man is trying to stack up 26 four sided blocks that have the letters A-B-C on one side, and the numbers 1-2-3 on another side.

Probabilities asks, ‘If a man were blind and unintelligent, what chance would he have to pile up the blocks in the order of A-B-C and so that the other side showed 1-2-3 all in proper order?’ He would have to arrange the four sided blocks in proper order so that both the side with A-B-C and the side with 1-2-3 were showing. He could not put a block down so that one of the two blanks sides was showing where there should be a letter “A” or a number “1.” What are the chances that this blind and unintelligent man, who was not trying to put the blocks in order, would get them all right on the first try by accident? Why, it would be a miracle if it ever happened. It would not happen normally. The chances of him putting them in

order the first time or *not* putting them in order is the study of Probabilities.

PROBABILITIES 101: Suppose you were flipping a coin. There are two sides, a head and a tail. What are the chances of flipping the coin and coming up “heads”? How probable is it that the coin will land “heads” up? The answer to that question is to understand the study of Probabilities. Understanding Probabilities is very important to the question of whether or not: random chance, accidental evolution could be true.

Since there are two possibilities in a coin flip, a head or a tail, there is a 1 in 2 chance that the coin will land so that the “heads” is up. The other possibility is, of course that the coin would land with “tails” up. Since there are only two possibilities, you have 1 in 2 chances of the coin being “heads.” 1:2 is how you would indicate the Probability of getting “heads.”

There is an interesting mathematical process which always works. You multiply the number of Probabilities. Since there are two possibilities, you multiply 1x2 and the result is the total number of possible outcomes. This sounds simple, but will work with four objects, eight objects, eleven objects, or a million objects! You multiply the number of Probabilities to get the total possible results.

Here is an example: Suppose you had four balls. The first is numbered 1; the second ball is 2. The third is 3 and the last ball is numbered 4. If you threw the four balls high up in the air, what are the chances that the four balls would come down and be lined up in proper 1-2-3-4 order? Multiply $1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 = 24$. Therefore, there is a 1 in 24 chance that the balls would come down and go into a proper 1-2-3-4 order.

If you increase the number of balls to eight, with each numbered 1-8, what do you multiply together to find the total number of possible outcomes? Well, you multiply the numbers together: $1 \times 2 \times 3 \times 4 \times 5 \times 6 \times 7 \times 8 = 40,320$. Therefore, if you throw the eight balls high up into the air 40,320 times, the balls would come down ONLY ONCE in proper order 1-2-3-4-5-6-7-8. The other 40,319 times you will have scrambled numbers. You will not have 1-2-

3-4-5-6-7-8. Thus, the Probabilities of the eight balls coming down in proper order is expressed as 1:40,320.

Once in 40,320 are not good betting odds, are they? Yet, you would only obtain that 1-8 proper result one time out of the 40,320 tries. And if you were told you only had 1 chance in 40,320 to have a safe plane ride (for instance) you would not board the plane. Right? Right!

Now if you increase it to eleven balls, we begin to approach impossibility, mathematicians call it “*mathematical improbability*.” (a ‘math’ way of saying impossible). This is because if you throw 11 balls high up in the air, 39 million, 916 thousand, eight hundred times ONLY ONCE will they come down in proper order 1 to 11.

This short study of Probabilities is to introduce you to the possibility or impossibility of DNA connecting properly when it is done by random chance with no One guiding it or directing it. Evolutionists want you to believe that more than one MILLION rungs in a DNA helix strand ladder will connect properly **by accident** on the first try over and over and over and over and over again. However, with the balls we are talking about a single probability of lining up in order. With DNA we are not only back to dual Probability in their connections, but each of more than one **million** rungs must also choose the right connector out of four possibilities or they will not be able to connect to the ladder at all.

Suppose you are trying to obtain a particular result, such as the connection to DNA helix strands. When the Probabilities exceed 1 to the 50th power (1^{50}) the desired single result is said to be mathematically improbable. (Their way of saying they believe it impossible). You reach that impossible level in less than one hundred connections. Evolutionists want you to believe the DNA makes these impossible connections of more than one **million** rungs in the helix strand (ladder). They want you to believe that it is by an unguided random or accidental happening. And remember, there are many DNA molecules in every cell. It is *Mathematically*

Improbable (impossible) for DNA connections to come together by chance. Do you understand?

As earlier stated, Mr. Darwin and his contemporaries did not even suspect that DNA existed, when Mr. Darwin wrote in 1859. If Mr. Darwin is the 'fair minded' person that he is most often portrayed to be, he would have seen the impossibility of the production of a single cell originally and the impossibility of the cells duplication by random chance and or *natural selection*. How then would the cell come together, without an Intelligent Creator? IT WOULD NOT!

As mentioned, however, evolutionists want you to believe DNA connects and reconnects **by chance**. Yet, "Mathematical certainty" dictates that one DNA could not connect by chance. Remember, you would have to ask one million connections, with four possible connectors to all **accidentally** connect to the helix strand ladder. This accident would have to be on the first try and none of them could be backwards or upside down or even askew. Then evolutionists ask you to believe that impossible accident, after impossible accident happened and is happening with DNA, every day. Someone estimated it would be like the same person winning a state lottery, every day for at least one hundred years. Wouldn't you become suspicious that this was not happening by accident after the same man kept winning day after day? Would you scream FIX! after the first week or two? Evolution wants you to believe that this is all perfectly natural. Evolutionists would urge you to continue to buy your lottery tickets (believe their evolutionary theories). But if it were your money, would you?

It is no wonder that modern science concludes: Mr. Darwin was wrong about evolution of the cell and life! (Eden 1966; Gould-Eldredge 1977; Patterson 1981; Hoyle 1983; Cohen 1984; Denton 1985; Behe 1996; Hoyle 1999; ASHTON, ED. *IN SIX DAYS* 2001; Peterson 2002; Lubenow 2004)

University of Chicago Professor, James Shapiro, said **there are no documented accounts for the evolution of any fundamental biochemical or cellular system, "only a variety of**

wishful speculations." (As quoted by: Behe, Prof. Dr. Michael, Letter to Editor, New York Times, October, 1996 A.D.)

MUTATIONS What are mutations? A mutation is a mistake. Once evolutionists learned of genetics, (Mendel) and the DNA (Watson, Crick, Wilkins, Franklin) they imagined the cell must have evolved into a more complex life form by chance or accident in disorganized mutations. *Evolutionists never, ever, saw mutations leading to any new species.* Observations of mutations reveal loss or alteration of genetic information, but never adds to it. We see a five legged calf, but the calf always grew legs. The mistake was the placement of the fifth leg. There is **NOT ONE** example of a series of random mutations leading to a new thing such as a wing on a calf or bird's hoof.

Also, many scientists agree that *natural selection* would not have enough mutations to select from and to form a new species (Eden 1966) because of the amazing properties of DNA (Cohen 1984). If there are only a few errors in the DNA, there are enzymes in the cell that repair the DNA. If there are many mistakes (mutations) in the DNA, the emerging cell merely washes that DNA out of existence. (Eden, Murray *Inadequacies of Neo-Darwinian Evolution as a Scientific Theory*-1966; Cohen, I.L. *Darwin was Wrong*, 1984).

TIME OR A KISS? Evolutionists imagine that cells formed by accident, kept changing slowly from a bacteria to a plant and a fish, then a bird and an animal, and finally a man. Remember when the princess kissed the frog? He became a prince. Evolution teaches the same story, but, changed the kiss of a princess, into **m-i-l-l-i-o-n-s** of years. Evolutionists say men evolved from a simple cell in the pool of slime eventually to a frog then finally into a prince (or man). Sound "scientific?" NO! It sounds like a folk tale. Do **m-i-l-l-i-o-n-s** of years turn a fishy story into science? Evolutionists think so!



LIFE FROM NON-LIFE~ IMPOSSIBLE: Remember the teaching of *non-God* evolution begins, after rained on rocks, with life coming from non-living elements. This idea of *spontaneous generation* (or

abiogenesis: life from non-life) is scientifically impossible. Francisco Redi in 1668 and again Louis Pasteur in the 1850s showed it did not occur as men imagined. Most evolutionists now admit the *impossibility of spontaneous generation*. (Wald 1954) Yet, evolution alleges life arose from non-living matter by way of this impossible process: *spontaneous generation* or (*abiogenesis*). Can *big-bang evolution* begin with that which scientists KNOW is not possible? Most evolutionists think it can.

ONE OF DARWIN'S BLUNDERS: But, in fairness to Mr. Darwin, he did not then realize that the cell was intricately, complex. He did not know it needed all of its parts to have 'life.' Mr. Darwin mistakenly believed a cell was **simple**. But, all now agree that the most expensive, most sophisticated computer is not as complicated as the tiniest, highly complex cell with its DNA.^[fn²] Did the computer arise by chance and *natural selection*? . . . NO! Thus, can it be said that neither the computer nor the cell evolved? Each is too complicated and needs too many bits to be a functioning unit, for it to attain life by chance. (Eden 1966; Spetner 1997 **A.D.**; Hoyle 1999 **A.D.**; Faulkner 2004 **A.D.**; Peterson 2002 **A.D.**; Lubenow 2004 **A.D.**)

DESIGNER? Well, if not by chance, then how did it come here? Look, I am not overjoyed with the Intelligent Design crowd. I am not ashamed to proclaim God created everything. Yet, while no one rationally believes the computer just sprang into existence by chance (without an intelligent designer) evolutionists believe man (with billions of delicate, complicated complex precise, units called cells) are imagined to have accidentally come into existence. Each cell is much more complicated than any computer. How can they rationally believe man happened by accident? We look at the details of a computer and how each part is dependent upon other parts. They must be in precise order and interconnected if we hope for them to work. (And with only one error the thing will crash). We can agree the computer was produced by the design of an intelligent

² Murray Eden (1966) estimates that an E. coli bacteria contains over a trillion (10¹²) bits (10,000,000,000,000) bits of data.

designer (computer scientist). Would not the same be true of a man or his cell? The cell is much more complicated, complex than any computer in every plant, animal or man. (Remember the E. coli bacterium!)

DARWIN AND THE FOSSIL RECORD

What are fossils? When plants or animals are suddenly buried alive in things such as floods, parts of the skeleton or a leaf or sometimes the entire animal or plant or its imprint is captured and preserved in the rocky outer layers of earth below the ground. These fossils in rocky layers of earth are called the geologic record. This geologic record is studied by men to try to see what past life was like.

Charles Darwin was looking for proof of his ideas that we call evolution. It made sense to him, that if his theory was true, there should be tens of thousands of these fossils in the rocky ground (geologic record). They should show the slow changes from one animal type to another. Mr. Darwin thought they should be in "*finely graduated organic chains*." (By that he meant that if his evolution was true, you would see the slow, step-by-step changes in the fossils or remains of the animals). He expected to find perhaps a mouse changing into a bat over vast ages of m-i-l-l-i-o-n-s of years. He expected to find a mouse developing webbing in his feet. Then, over thousands of generations, to have webbing in his skin and upper front leg as the front legs changed into a bat wing. He thought these changes over thousands and tens of thousands of generations, would reveal the slow, tiny, step by step changes from the mouse to the bat. So, he looked in the fossil record and he read all the works of other men who had looked into the fossil record in the rocks of the earth (geologic record). And what do you suppose he found?

In his book entitled ***On The Origin of Species, By Means of Natural Selection, or The Preservation of Favored Races in the Struggle For Life***, [London: John Murray 1859], Mr. Darwin makes a startling admission in chapter 10. And I quote:

Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain; and this, perhaps, is the most obvious and gravest objection which can be urged against my theory. The explanation lies, as I believe, in the extreme imperfection of the geological record.

CONTRARY TO THE EVIDENCE? What Mr. Darwin is writing is that if you look in the rocks (geology) you do not find any proof that plants or animals change slowly (finely graduated organic chain) step by small step from one kind to another dissimilar kind. It shocks most people to learn Mr. Darwin knew his idea of evolution was contrary to the facts and evidence. And Mr. Darwin writes this is the *most obvious*, (clearest) and gravest (very serious and most important) objection (proper argument against) his ideas of evolution. So, why not take Mr. Darwin at his word? The rocks cry out against Mr. Darwin's imagined ideas. WOW! There was never any scientific or actual evidence for evolution!

When Mr. Darwin wrote the words, he believed it was *the extreme imperfection of the geologic record*, he was saying they had not done enough digging in the rocks yet. And he hoped someday, someone, would find evidence for his ideas. He was admitting there was no proof.

WAS FUTURE PROOF FOUND? Darwin hoped there would be future proof found in the earth that would show his *finely graduated organic chain* (step by step change from one species into another dissimilar kind of plant or animal). Was the proof ever found? NO!

We are told there are all kinds of new fossils found. But, there is no chain of fossils linking one species to a new kind. NOT ONE! None. No chain of fossils. Mr. Darwin said we should find enumerable (tens of thousands) of chains of slow step by step changes. There is **one** so-called bird to reptile. There is the controversial walking whale. But, there is no chain of fossils leading up to the bird or whale. There is no chain of fossils leading from the bird or whale to a new dissimilar species.

One hundred twenty-one (121) years after Mr. Darwin first 'hoped' in his book for future evidence, a popular news magazine, *Newsweek* (November 1980) reported:

Evidence from fossils now point overwhelmingly away from classical Darwinism which most Americans learned in High School. (*Newsweek*, November 3, 1980)

These facts had been set forth by total evolutionists Stephen Jay Gould (and Niles Eldredge) in *Evolution's Erratic Pace* 1977. Colin Patterson in *The New Evolutionary Timetable*, in 1981, p. 95, crushed Darwin's hopes reporting:

In fact the fossil record does not document a single transition from one species to another.

Creationist Luther Sunderland (*Darwin's Enigma* 1987) reported Mr. Darwin was incorrect, as did Duane Gish (*Fossils Say No* 1995). Evolutionist professor Jeffery Schwartz *Sudden Origins* 1999 agreed. One hundred fifty (150) years later (2009 A.D.) with a more perfect geological record, we cannot find *any such finely graduated organic chain*. Mr. Darwin said these finely graduated organic chains should be in the fossil record. There is no finely graduated chain to prove Mr. Darwin's imagined ideas.

21ST CENTURY-NOT ONE CHAIN OF FOSSILS: Modern day geology (study of rocks) and paleontology (study of old things) has not provided one such finely graduated organic chain of fossils (*remains of prior life forms*) leading from one dissimilar kind of species to another different kind of animal or plant. (*Darwin thought there should be truly enormous amounts of finely graduated organic chains of fossils*). We must realize the impact of this fact. Mr. Darwin said there would be finely graduated organic chains of fossils leading from one species to a totally different kind or type of animal or plant. BUT there was not one such finely graduated organic chain of fossils when Mr. Darwin first wrote in 1859 and there are no such finely graduated organic chains of fossils today. Therefore, it is safe to say: ***Evolution is without credible proof.***

BUT WE WERE TAUGHT: With all the proof against evolution, if you ask your High School teacher the basis or proof for evolution, most will admit to a lack of any true factual knowledge. Expect an answer such as, “I had little training in the subject.” They are saying they just follow teaching guides, then collect a pay check, as if they were teaching the truth. Should teachers check to make sure they are teaching their students things which are in fact true? If teaching an imagined idea of a man, should they tell the students there is no proof for the ideas of the man who believed his ideas were true?

HOW DID EVOLUTION SURVIVE? But, how was it possible for men to be deceived into thinking evolution was possible? There were deceptions and fakes made up to support Darwin by men labeled “Darwin’s henchmen.” Look at the made-up and false facts of so-called human evolution.

WAS THERE HUMAN EVOLUTION?

There is no proof for human evolution from ape to man. This false idea was first brought up in Mr. Darwin’s day, by his disciple Thomas Huxley and others, but made popular by the writing of Charles Darwin in another of his publications that caused much immediate controversy. It was entitled *Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex* (1871). There, Mr. Darwin (who was not educated as a scientist, but was trained a theology graduate of Christ’s College, Cambridge) had changed his opinion. He no longer believed man was made in the image of God. Man was no longer a beloved purposeful creation of God, Who loves you and wants for you His best. There, the man, who studied to be a pastor, broke totally with God and the Bible. He said he believed man was an accident and merely an accidental extension of the animal kingdom. You, of course, may believe what you want to believe, but remember that Mr. Darwin said that for any evolution to be true, you needed to find a *finely graduated organic chain* of fossils leading from one kind of animal

(ape) to another unlike type of animal, or in this case, a man. So, a discovery of a tooth or footprint here and there will not be sufficient to meet Mr. Darwin’s ideas of necessary proof. Was such a *finely graduated* chain found to prove ape-type to human evolution is a fact? NO! How then were they able to assert man evolved from “monkey like” creatures? Only by the dishonesty of the evolutionist and his imagination. That’s it. So read on. You will discover that you are **not** a monkey’s nephew.

The belief system (ape to man) of Mr. Darwin and his disciples was taught in college to those who became teachers. They taught students. Students believed their trusted teachers. In a few school generations, of twelve years each, the imagined belief system of evolution had become a part of our society’s teachings. If you go to the respected, public funded, Smithsonian Institute in Washington, D.C., you will see displays of cave men in process from monkey to man. BUT IT IS FALSE! **There is no finely graduated organic chain of fossils leading from ape to man**. There are a very few fossils found, which have all been shown to be a mistake or worse, a forgery or a fraud. Read on and learn how they teach their belief system, as if it is truth.

Evolution, of course, attacks God and the Bible. (They know the Biblical account fits the evidence and is a more logical explanation). Thus, they have worked until God and the Bible are both ‘expelled’ from the public schools. The only belief system that is allowed to be in children’s text books now is the evolutionary belief system. You, who are Christians, must be prepared *to give to every man an answer*. Draw near and learn how evolutionists convinced a gullible, trusting public to worship at the temple of the new *tree of knowledge* (education and science). They claim man was not created by a loving God. They insist men developed from ape-like creatures. Learn the made up false stories, such as:

1. **The Java Man (1891)** Evolutionist Dubois reported **Java man was supposed to have lived 750,000 years ago (ya). A skullcap was found in one place by untrained native workmen. Several months later a femur was found**

by the native workmen fifty feet away. There is no proof the two are from the same being or animal. Mr. Dubois kept the originals hidden. There is also a dispute as to a “death bed confession” by Dubois. But, Creationist Lubenow relates Mr. Dubois kept saying his “Java Man” was the ‘missing link.’ Dubois never agreed these were bones of a young gibbon as some Creationists allege. (Lubenow, Marvin - *Bones of Contention* p.99)

SELENKA EXPEDITION: The evolutionist community knew the Java Man “dig” had been conducted by amateurs. There was much controversy about the reliability of the ‘find.’ People from Germany (the Selenka Expedition) decided to go to the area where Java Man had been found to support Dubois. They dug up, in the same strata of rock where Java Man was found, a **human tooth!** But, the study of old things (paleontology) and the study of rocks (geology) believes that everything found in the same rock layer is the same age. Thus, Java Man, whatever it was, (*Dubois kept the actual bones hidden*) couldn’t have been older than the **Homo sapiens, human tooth**. And evolutionists believe that when the evolutionary ancestor lost in the struggle for life, that all of his generation died and would not live with the successful newer, fitter, better surviving species.

Okay, so they teach the old one lived before and all the older ones died out as the new one emerged and won the struggle for food and for life. Thus, the ancestor of humans cannot be living at the same time as the human. The tooth of the human (**Homo sapiens**) and Java man bones were found in the same rock layers and this proved to those German evolutionists that humans and Java man lived together at the same time. Java man was (**by that human tooth find in the same layer**) disqualified as an ancestor (“missing link”) between ape and **Homo sapiens**. Ancient ancestors (great, great, great great-grandparents) do not live with present generations. The evidence from the Selenka Expedition was of course, ignored by evolutionists.

2. **The Piltdown Man (1908).** A human skull cap and an orangutan ape jaw bone, with teeth filed down to appear human, were placed in Piltdown in 1908. It was a horrible trick that misled millions of people.

People disagreed about the discovery. Some wanted to believe this was a “missing link.” Remember, however that Mr. Darwin would want a finely graduated organic chain leading from the ape like creature to man. Darwin’s ideas labeled evolution would expect to find thousands of slowly changing skulls, not one that had the jawbone of one species and the skull cap of the other. But, by now, Mr. Darwin had died. Most of his followers had not even read his complete works. Many had not read any of his *Origin of Species* or *Descent of Man*. They were content to believe in a concept they called evolution and believe Mr. Darwin was its ‘prophet.’ They wanted to be rid of God, His rules and the Bible. So, they asserted that Piltdown man discovery(which by itself will not fit Mr. Darwin’s expected evidence) was “the” evidence that man had come from some ape like creature.

From about 1915 to well after 1956, Piltdown appeared in textbooks as ‘a’ or ‘the’ **missing link**. But was it truly a half man (skull) half ape (jawbone) creature? NO! In 1953, it was reported by evolutionary researchers, that someone had filed the ape teeth to look more human. The filing could be seen by the naked eye. But all that was on display in any museum was a plaster cast of the original. The plaster casts did not show the filings. Trained evolutionists **had to know** of the fraud from the very beginning. Originals were kept in the basement vault of the British Museum. Publically displayed plaster casts (*which were supposed to mirror the originals*) didn’t have the filings on them. That lie deceived five, twelve (12) year, generations of school children including your great grandparents and maybe your grandparents, as well.

In 1956, *Reader’s Digest* reported Piltdown’s teeth had been filed. They were the teeth of an ape (orangutan). They were dyed and filed. The human skull cap and ape-jaw were more than a

thousand years different in age. Only after the fake was revealed did the British Museum, **for first time**, admit the fraud.

3. **The Nebraska Man (1922) Nebraska Man and his wife appeared in the *London Illustrated Times*. What was the evidence for the two of them? Nebraska Man was actually made up from 'one' single tooth.** (But that is not the worst- read on).

In May 1925, evolutionists found an entire set of buried bones (skeleton) into which the tooth fit. They took the skeleton to the laboratory and put it together. Although they made an astounding discovery within days, it was not until many years later, that they admitted what they knew in 1925. When they put together the skeleton, it was the skeleton and tooth of **an extinct species of pig. So-called Nebraska man was a pig!** [fn³]

4. **Lucy (1974) Lucy, one of the best known fossils of the 20th century, was found in Hadar, Africa in 1974, by Donald Johanson and company. Lucy was designated Australopithecus afarensis- (the southern ape from Afar-northeastern Ethiopia). They found a knee joint fragment a mile and one half away in a rock strata two hundred feet deeper. This knee joint was used to argue Lucy (who died a mile and one half away) was one of the first upright walkers.**

³ **Note:** The so-called Nebraska Man is often reported as having been used in the 1925 Scopes (Monkey) Trial. There was no mention of Nebraska Man in the actual trial. It was first used in the made-up play and false movie of the Scopes trial: *Inherit the Wind*. Unfortunately Christian authors and clergy repeat "inventions" from the movie, as if it was true. Read the transcript of the Scopes trial. Nebraska man was never a part of the testimony.

LUCY: ON THE WAY TO MAN OR JUST A CHIMP? *Time* and *National Geographic* reported Lucy was an upright walking ancestor of man. But, was she? They never found any foot bones. (But many museums give her human feet.) By 1981, Donald Johanson, the man who found Lucy, conceded:

I was trying to jam evidence of dates into a pattern that would support conclusions about fossils which, on closer inspection, the fossils themselves would not sustain (Johanson and Edey, *Lucy: The Beginnings of Humankind* New York: Simon and Schuster 1981, pp. 257, 258).

In the March 1996 issue of *National Geographic*, Dr. Johanson also admitted: "Lucy has recently been dethroned" (189 [3]: 117). Lee Berger declared: ". . . we are kicking Lucy out of the family tree." (As quoted in Shreeve, James **New Skeleton Gives Path from Trees to Ground an Odd Turn**, *Science*, 272:654, May 3rd 1996)

The March 29th, 2000, San Diego Tribune, reported:

A . . .discovery made by looking at a cast of the bones of "Lucy" . . .shows her wrist is stiff, like a chimpanzee's, . . . This suggests that (*she and*) her ancestors walked on their knuckles (Fox, Maggie 2000 **Man's Early Ancestors** . . . *San Diego Union Tribune* Quest Section March 29, 2000),

Conclusion: Lucy, the best known evidence of the 20th century ***is not*** the upright walking ancestor of man. She (*or he*) was a pygmy chimpanzee who walked on its knuckles. Thus the missing link continues to be: **MISSING!**

MICROEVOLUTION ANYONE? Micro evolution is a made-up term that may well be being used to deceive you into believing that it is in fact about species changing. It is not! The term merely means that there are changes within a species. A dog may become larger or smaller, have more hair or less, but he remains a dog. That is not

evolution. That is 'change' *within* a kind. 'Change within' has never led to species change and never would. A species has limitations. The species always strives to remain its kind. The reason that they use the term micro evolution is because they have hundreds of examples of things changing within a species, but no examples of one species becoming a different kind. Evolutionists use the name micro evolution to make you think this is or will lead to one plant or animal changing into a different plant or animal. It will not! Do not be deceived! Micro evolution (*so-called*) is not what Darwin or the public school wants you to believe. They want to convince you that mice become bats, that amphibians (frogs) become land animals and eventually man, that we both came from fish. NEVER have they ever seen a fish change into a frog (amphibian). Oh yes, a frog has a tadpole existence. The tadpole is merely the continuation of the development process that God intended. All frogs have always been tadpoles in the beginning. New species were never seen being made by evolution.

On the other hand, any Creationist will concede that if you breed two large beaked birds, the vast majority of offspring will have large beaks. But, you start with a bird, you end up with a bird. There is never an evolving to another species.

Darwin and his henchmen were forced to use this evidence of change within a species, as their proof for the **ORIGIN OF (new) SPECIES** because there is absolutely NO proof of Darwin's (*species changing*) evolution. It was all a product of his imagination. Thus, we can continue to report that Darwin's imagination is *without credible proof*.

IS EVOLUTION SCIENCE? Science is a process of observation (watching the physical world) and replication, (then testing to know if something can be repeated over and over and therefore be established as a true (or false) scientific fact. It is a 'scientific fact' or truth that birds must flap their wings to fly. If we watch birds take off, we note that all of them have to flap their wings or they will not fly. Since they always flap their wings to fly, our observation (watching) shows this is a scientific fact. Also suppose you put water on the stove top. You put a thermometer in the water. You turn the heat to high. You watch the temperature rise. When the

temperature reaches 212° on the Fahrenheit scale or 100° Celsius: bubbles appear and the water begins to give off steam. The water is said to be boiling. There are always bubbles and the release of steam when the water reaches the 'boiling point.' This is also a scientific fact as you can duplicate it over and over and over again with the same result (replication).

When you observe that a bird has to flap his wings to fly you are making a scientific observation. When you conduct an experiment and heat water until you watch water bubble and give off steam (at a given temperature) you are replicating the result. It's a scientific fact. BUT, did you know, no one ever observed evolution?

Evolution is a process that some people believe is true. They actually believe that man came from an ape-like creature. This has never been observed. There has been a search for the missing links for more than one hundred fifty (150) years. And of course there is no finely graduated organic chain of fossils leading from the animal ape to man. The 'missing link' is still missing.

If evolution were based upon scientific facts it would be something we could observe. Look if you want to believe in evolution, that is your right. There are eternal consequences, but, you can believe in it. Yet, since evolution cannot be observed or duplicated, evolution cannot thus be called "science." It is not subject to the *scientific method* of observation or proof through repeating the same results over and over again. (replication). Evolution is, at best, a belief system.

VAST AGES (OR THE DATING GAME)

When you were in the first or second grade, your teacher said to you, "Boys and girls, today we are going to learn about dinosaurs that lived millions of years ago." But has earth been here for millions or b-i-l-l-i-o-n-s of years? Is there proof or is that just a part of the evolutionary belief system?

First, you must realize this vast age idea, as a belief, does not even extend historically back to the time of William

Shakespeare. Shakespeare believed the Bible time line as it revealed in one of his plays: ***As You Like It***.

The female lead, Rosalind, says:

The poor world is almost six thousand years old...

(William Shakespeare (1564–1616). The Oxford Shakespeare. ***As You Like It***, Act IV. Scene I - (***The Complete Works of William Shakespeare***, Garden City Books, Doubleday and Company, Garden City, New York 1936) p. 687

B-I-L-L-I-O-N-S OF YEARS? So what happened in the years since Shakespeare to cause many to believe in vast ages? Just as they have no facts for evolution, there is no hard evidence that Earth has been here *b-i-l-l-i-o-n-s* of years. Evolutionists believe Earth to be that old, because they believe in Darwin's gradual evolution. They know evolution would take *m-i-l-l-i-o-n-s* or *b-i-l-l-i-o-n-s* of years. Therefore, they conclude that the world **must be that old**, since they cannot bring themselves to believe that evolution is not true. And without those vast ages, they admit that evolution would not and could not be true. So, they look for proof for vast ages. They made up vast ages, at first, because they needed vast ages as a part of their evolutionary belief system. Many now admit they wanted to attack the Biblical time-line. This vast age idea was labeled **uniformitarianism** (*which is slow, incremental erosional changes imagined to take m-i-l-l-i-o-n-s of years*)

BELIEF FOUNDED ON? Vast age was proposed by James Hutton in Scotland, not thousands of years ago, but less than two hundred fifty years ago (1795). Hutton never trained to be an Earth scientist. He first studied to be an attorney, then a medical doctor. He did not practice either profession. He inherited a farm and became a farmer. Hutton had another idea: matter in the universe was illusory (did not actually exist). Upon this man you build your faith and belief in the age of the rocks? Why not establish your faith upon The Rock of Ages?

You will hear how on my first fossil dig, the professor told us to mail in our find in three pieces, because the lab often gave ages that differed *by a million years on the same rock*. That shocked me! You see, I was only doubting the Bible and God because I had been trained that Earth was *m-i-l-l-i-o-n-s* of years old. The Bible did not allow for that much time.

The vast age myth was popularized by Charles Lyell (1830s) and Charles Darwin (1859). Before that it was common for science to explain Earth geology by **catastrophism**. (See Charles E Merrill *Earth Science* Textbook). Scientists of that day regularly included the worldwide flood of Noah. Yet, based on man's ideas and a **made up geologic column**, Lyell (based upon Hutton) and through Darwin popularized "vast ages", i.e., *b-i-l-l-i-o-n-s* of years.

RADIOMETRIC DATING: Is radiometric dating the reliable and undeniable, scientific proof of vast ages, that evolutionists claim it to be? NO! Isn't it beyond question? NO!

THE PIGSWON! Radiometric dating: carbon 14, lead, potassium-argon, rubidium-strontium, fission track has each come and gone as 'the' method. Dating is often questioned by evolutionists. They reject a majority of their own lab reported dates. Using radiometric dating, evolutionists tried for ten years to set a date on the age of the KBS Tuff rocks in Kenya, Africa. They finally rejected all radiometric dating. They dated it by extinct pigs teeth. WHY?

Well they found a human looking skull (KNM ER 1470) under the KBS Tuff (volcanic ash). The dates from the dating labs (geochronology laboratories) did not fit the evolutionary time scale. The date was so old that it would have knocked out most of the few so-called human ancestors. It predated them. (Lucy, found just after KNM ER 1470 was slightly older, but there would not have been time for Lucy to have 'evolved into' the human looking KNM ER 1470).

So, they said all of the then modern up-to-date labs were wrong. The pigs won the dating game. Paraphrasing one Creationist lecturer, we might say the respected radiometric dating was like *Humpty-Dumpty who had a great fall. And all the kings horses and*

all the kings men could not put evolution together again. Evolution had gone to the pigs!

Mt. St. Helens: Well then wouldn't it be nice if we had something more recent to illustrate the problems with radiometric dating? WAIT!!! We do!

On May 18th, 1980 A.D., Mt. St. Helens exploded in a great volcanic eruption. The destructive power exceeded the atomic bombs dropped on Japan to end World War II and leveled one hundred fifty square miles of one hundred foot tall trees. Magma (hot molten lava) flowed down the mountain mixing with dirt and ice, making massive mud slides, blocking rivers, and creating new lakes. A later mud flow carved hundred foot canyons out of solid rock *in hours*.

Aftershock activity continued. New lava domes were created in 1986 A.D. These were checked for proper scientific age by radiometric dating in 1997 A.D. Now we would see dating we could verify!

You must realize that **magma** (the hot melting rocks that reside under the crust of the earth) does not begin to acquire age (dating properties) until it comes out in a volcano. This is because the rocks are dated by the amount of elements in the air or atmosphere. So, the hot melted rocks had to be exposed to our atmosphere to acquire age that could be measured on machines.

With permission from the government, five specimens were gathered from the 1986 lava dome (*dacite*) for dating. However, since it may be *possible* for some of the 1980 eruption to have been mistakenly taken we would concede that the erupted material could have been seventeen years old. The five specimens were tested by conventional Potassium-Argon radiometric dating in established dating laboratories. The rock was eleven years old (to perhaps seventeen years old). **BUT**, the dating results indicated ages of the lava rock from about 500,000 (ya) to almost three million years old. These dates came from eleven (*to perhaps seventeen*) year old rock. These dates are ridiculous!

If there are these obvious dating failures on rocks when we know the age, should you believe evolutionists' dates or ages on any rocks? NO! When dating rocks of known age by modern radiometric methods we find we cannot trust dates obtained. The lab dates cannot be trusted. They are wrong!

CARBON 14 But, what about Carbon 14? Isn't it supposed to be accurate? ... Well, not really. You should know two things: 1.- Carbon 14 only dates things that were once living; and 2.- C-14 dating is also rejected more than 50% of the time by the evolutionists. However, there has been an astounding discovery as to Carbon 14 that should be known to people who want to understand that Carbon 14 has a very limited use for proof of ages.

Dr. Walt Brown (PhD) writes about radio carbon dating with a newer accelerator technique. The dating process works in "half life" increments. The half life of Carbon 14 (C-14) is 5,730 years. Wait, Brother Hughes, what is a half life?

When measuring the dates of plants or animals, there is an established "parent element" that is present in the plant or animal. When half of the "parent element" is gone, this is considered the half life of the particular measuring dating method. However, this dating method is only valid, **IF** the Earth is more than 40,000 years old. As you know, the Bible time-line reveals an age for Earth of six thousand to perhaps ten thousand years. Thus, the methods are believed to be flawed from the beginning by creationists who believe the Bible time-line, as the earth is not yet 40,000 years old.

The measurement of age becomes weaker and weaker at each half life. Therefore, it is conceded by creationists and evolutionists that bones older than 70,000 radio carbon years would not have enough carbon-14 for an accurate measurement. A bone with detectable C-14 is said to be less than 70,000 radiocarbon years of age.

Evolutionists who had bones of **supposed** great antiquity (*estimated at hundreds of thousands of years*) did not date them by

this method. However, Dr Brown submitted some ancient human bones for dating:

. . . Ancient human skeletons, when dated by this . . . “accelerator mass spectrometer” technique, give surprisingly recent dates. In one study of eleven sets of ancient human bones, all were dated at about 5,000 radiocarbon years or less! Dr. Walt Brown, PhD. *In the Beginning*; (7th Edition - Walt Brown 2001 A.D.) p. 245 (also see <http://www.creation-science.com>)

So now you know the rest of the story. Radiometric, isotopic dating methods are not the **absolute dating methods that they are claimed to be**. Their failure is an inside joke of geologists. Many evolutionists have had to admit, **“There is no absolutely reliable long-term radiological ‘clock’.”**

PREHISTORIC? Do you believe in vast periods of time of prehistoric man and terrible creatures? The oldest written record of man is contended to be 6,000 years, at best. Most say written history only goes back 4,000 years. One logical explanation is that there was a global flood that altered the world, less than 4500 years ago. It buried and destroyed much of what had been written. Also, the word of God, starts: ***In the beginning, God created the heavens and the earth.*** There is no real pre history period, if one really accepts and believes the Bible to be the Word of God.

Also you will read in Genesis, chapter 4, they built a city, were herding cattle, played the harp (lyre) and organ (flute or reed instruments) and worked in brass (an alloy which requires very hot fires) and iron. Then in verse 25 of Genesis, Chapter 4, we have Adam and Eve having Seth, a son. This birth happened after “Adam lived” 130 years (Gen. 5:3). So where is the time for these vast prehistoric ages? Where is the cave man supposed to fit in? Where can we put in the so called imagined ancestors of modern man? Adam **is** ‘modern man’ made in the image of God. We are children of Adam and Eve.

THE FLOOD: Outside of the Bible, there are more flood stories “floating around” than there are nations. One of the legends in China tells of one family being saved from a flood on a large boat then coming back to repopulate the Earth. The Greek legend talks about the son of the righteous Titan who did not participate in the evil of the other people. He was the only one saved with his wife on a boat. Do these sound familiar? They are old stories about a flood and a very few people surviving it on a boat. Then perhaps, just perhaps, the story of Noah is true, just as the Bible teaches.

DINOSAURS

WHO IS THAT GIANT LIZARD? Before the world wide flood, man lived hundreds of years, as did lizards and other animals. Most animals have a growth cycle that ends at a certain age. Lizards grow as long as they live. How long does a Komodo Dragon live? Usually about ten years, but perhaps as long as fifty years. As mature adults they can be from six feet to ten feet long. Since lizards never stop growing, imagine the size of a 200-year-old Komodo Dragon! HUGE! What would we call them? The American Heritage Dictionary reports that ***dinosaur* comes from two Greek words: *deinos*, monstrous + *sauros*, lizard. i.e. “monstrous lizard.”** (giant lizard).

DINOSAURS: Did dinosaurs exist *m-i-l-l-i-o-n-s* of years ago? No. Why do you say that? Earth is not that old. And we have evidence they lived at the same time as man. Really? Yes. There are cave drawings of dinosaurs in Africa, Arizona and Utah to name three locations. There is pottery in Mexico which uses as models: dinosaurs. The art reflects **what native artists saw**. Remember the Ica (not Inca) stones with dinosaurs engraved on them from Peru?

These drawings and dinosaur pottery predate European rediscoveries of dinosaur bones and the dates when Europeans came from Spain, France, Portugal, England, Netherlands and Belgium to North America and Africa. There **is** credible evidence that dinosaurs lived with man. And remember the Bible tells us God

created **all** land breathing animals on the sixth creation day. Adam named **all** animals. That would include dinosaurs.

ARE DINOSAURS IN THE BIBLE? The book of Job, Chapter 40, verses 15-19 is the classic description of the brontosaurus or diplodocus. The dinosaur? Yes! With a tail like a cedar (tree).

NO CONTRADICTION The Bible and pure science never contradict one another. For instance, 3,000 years ago, the Bible reported Earth is a sphere. Man didn't believe this until the time of the Greeks. The two major Greek philosophers, Plato and Aristotle (of Greek natural philosophy) taught Earth was a sphere. Their thought dominated Europe from before the time of Christ until the time of Copernicus (1473-1543) Galileo (1564-1642) and Newton (1642-1727). But what about the flat earth idea?

FLAT EARTH? It is often falsely reported Columbus worried about the world being flat. This simply **is not** true. Think! If you learned about Columbus, he is the one who wanted to sail west to get to the east. You only get to the east, by going west, if the world is round. Dr. Jeffery B. Russell, Univ. of California, reveals that the idea that Columbus was a flat Earth person was a part of the us vs. them, (evolutionists vs. religion) which started up this legend to say: **see how unscientific are these religious believers!**

A BELIEF SYSTEM: As mentioned, *big-bang* evolution is a belief system, a philosophy: **not** science. Evolution is supposed to have happened long ago and far away. If it was not in never, never land, long ago, we would see evolution happening before our eyes. Is species changing Darwinian evolution happening today? Are dogs becoming non-dogs? Evolution cannot be replicated or tested. Evolution was allowed to come into our system for two reasons: 1) Christians were told we are not to be prejudiced, which is true, and so they told us we had to tolerate/allow non-Biblical ideas. Not true.

And 2- Because a weakened Christianity then denied God's power and no longer demonstrated our supernatural God. In response to a dead form of religion, man invented his own religion: *humanism*. He adopted a materialistic view of life. Then to prove to himself that he did not have to believe in or obey God, man relied upon an imagined evolution. But, evolution is a *belief system* contrary to the scientific, geological evidence.

You cannot build a so-called science on that which is contrary to the facts

WHAT'S AT RISK? Whether you go to heaven or hell! God wants you to choose everlasting life. Evolutionists hope you will choose evolution as your system of belief. For the man who *does not* want to believe in or obey the God of the Bible, the imagination of evolution **has** to be right. The only alternative to *big-bang* evolution is God (Prof. Wald 1954).

God created this universe with physical and Spiritual laws and rules. God created Earth to be inhabited by man. The Bible teaches we will all stand before God our Creator and Redeemer. HE will then be your Judge. Evolutionists don't want to believe that! Now you know evolution is from the mind and imagination of Mr. Darwin (and those who influenced him). Since you also know evolution is without credible proof (*replicable, scientific proof*) isn't it time for you to admit God is your Creator and Redeemer? If you are rational, you want to go to heaven when you die. The alternative is **not** pleasant and it is... eternal.

LET US HEAR THE CONCLUSION **OF THE WHOLE MATTER**

God's Bible begins with ***IN THE BEGINNING GOD CREATED THE HEAVEN AND THE EARTH.*** This explains the existence of the universe better than *big bang* evolution. The Bible also warns us:

... there shall come in the last days scoffers, walking after their own lusts, 4) And saying, Where is the promise of His coming? for since the fathers fell asleep, all things continue as [they were] from the beginning of the creation. 5) For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the Word of God the heavens were of old, and the earth standing out of the water and in the water: 6) Whereby the world that then was, being overflowed with water, perished: 7) But the heavens and the earth, which are now, by the same Word are kept in store, reserved unto fire against the day of judgment and perdition of ungodly men. **2 Peter 3:3-7**

Jesus promised, ... ***I go to prepare a place for you. 3) And if I go and prepare a place for you, I will come again, and receive you unto Myself; that where I am, [there] ye may be also.*** St. John, Chapter 14, verses 2 and 3.

To have this everlasting life in heaven, you must humble yourself and pray, admitting 1) God was right all the time. And 2) Jesus is the Only Way to reunite with God and go to heaven. God does not make it hard. You give up your pride and unbelief and in exchange you receive His pure, wholesome love, peace, joy and purpose in this life and a more perfect life in the world (existence) to come. Are you foolish enough to gamble on *big-bang evolution* being correct? Is that how you will respond to God when He asks you why you did not believe in My Son and accept what He did for you on the cross?

A Prayer of Reconciliation

Heavenly Father, I have doubted You or Your Bible to some degree, for too long. The other belief system is at war with You, Lord. Being at war with You is sin. I ask You to forgive me of my sins of unbelief. I confess that the evolution and big bang belief system is error. Therefore I return to Your Truth. YOUR WORD IS TRUTH. I turn from those sins. I repent of all sins. I return to You,

Lord. Please come into my heart and my life. Please be my Savior and my Lord. I also confess Jesus Christ, God the Son, came and died on the cross. HIS death was to pay for my sins and the sins of the world. I now confess You are Lord. You are my Creator. Please cleanse me from all sin. Please deliver me from every demon of hell. I want to lead a new life in Christ Jesus. I confess God raised Jesus from the dead. I NOW RECEIVE JESUS CHRIST INTO MY HEART AND LIFE AS MY SAVIOR AND MY LORD. I receive His love. I receive His forgiving of my sins. I accept His cleansing and deliverance. By the authority of God's Word, I declare, I am no longer a child of satan. I am a new person in Christ. And I am born again. This I pray, in the Name of Jesus. Amen.

My Commitment!

PSALMS 78:1-8

1) Give ear, O my people, [to] my law: incline your ears to the words of my mouth. ...3) Which we have heard and known, and our fathers have told us. 4) We will not hide [them] from their children, shewing to the generation to come the praises of the LORD, and his strength, and his wonderful works that he hath done. 5) ..., which he commanded our fathers, that they should make them known to their children: 6) That the generation to come might know [them, even] the children [which] should be born; [who] should arise and declare [them] to their children: 7) That they might set their hope in God, and not forget the works of God, but keep his commandments: 8) And might not be as their fathers, a stubborn and rebellious generation; a generation [that] set not their heart aright, and whose spirit was not stedfast with God.



And Jesus said unto him, ... ¹⁰ For the Son of man is come to seek and to save that which was lost. Luke 19:9-10

Why Did Jesus Come Into the World ?

Jesus came into the world to seek (pursue) and to save (recover, redeem) those who are lost (disoriented, confused) and separated from God. How did man become separated from God?

In the beginning God created man: Adam. Adam named all the animals, was in the garden of Eden and had daily time with God. However, Adam was lonely and incomplete. God gave him a wife to be a help (benefit, assistance) meet (correct) for him.

God gave them one rule to keep. God said you can eat off any tree, but the tree in the midst of the garden, the tree of the knowledge of good and evil *do not eat*, for in the day you do, you shall surely die. What did Adam (and wife) do? They broke the one rule that God told them to keep. They sinned and ate of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil. Genesis three tells how the serpent deceived Eve. She eats the forbidden fruit (while Adam was with her -Genesis 3:6) and he eats.

Then what happened? ~ **DEATH.**

Spiritual death. Physical death. Eternal death (in the lake of fire)

How horrible must be that Lake of fire, with that eternal torment. It is so bad, dreadful, horrifying that God, the Father-Son-Holy Spirit, was willing to allow the Son to come into the world and take on the form of a body. HE came into the world for one reason: to save us from eternal death. The gift of God is eternal life, through Jesus Christ our Lord. Jesus lived a sinless life. HE offered His death in place of yours. God-in man's form, allowed His body to die in your place, to pay your penalty for sin. Why? So you (and I) might have eternal life in heaven with Him. How do you escape the horrifying torment in the lake of fire? You must accept what Jesus did for you!

For God so loved the world that He gave His only begotten Son that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish but have everlasting life. For God sent not His Son into the world to condemn the world; but that the world through Him might be saved. John 3:16-17 ~ *Be ye saved all the ends of the Earth! Today is the day.*

Having believed Jesus died for my sins and rose from the dead, I now repent and receive Jesus Christ as my Savior and Lord. I pray in the Name of Jesus Christ, my Lord. Amen.